



Project Management Institute Global Accreditation Center for Project Management Education Programs (GAC)

GAC Post-Onsite Visit Evaluation by Institution

This evaluation will be utilized by the GAC to assist in assessing its onsite visit processes as well as its onsite team members and team leads. Please provide candid responses and any include any narrative that could assist GAC. Your comments are held in confidence and are not shared by GAC without your permission.

General Information:	
Your Name:	
Your Institution/Program:	
Onsite Visit Dates:	

Section 1: Performance of Onsite Team Members:

Evaluate each statement regarding the performance of the Onsite Visit Team, as a whole:						
Statement	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The onsite team was professional while conducting the onsite visit						
The onsite team was competent as evaluators						
The onsite team had adequate knowledge of the program through their review of the Self - Assessment Report						
The onsite team was objective in interpreting and applying the GAC Standards to the program						
The onsite visit report						

findings were clear during the exit debrief						
The onsite team's interaction with faculty during the onsite visit was collegial and professional						
The onsite team's interaction with other stakeholders during the site visit was collegial and professional						

Please provide additional comments regarding the onsite visit team as a whole, including any unfavorable/negative experiences as well as best practices/areas of excellence observed.

--

Evaluate each statement regarding the performance of the Onsite Visit Team Lead:						
Statement	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
The team lead's pre-onsite visit planning with program director/representative was collegial, professional and organized						
The team lead's onsite visit activities (interviews, inspections, etc.) with program representatives was collegial, professional and organized						
The team lead's post-onsite visit activities (report review/etc.) with program						

director/representative was collegial, professional and organized						
The onsite team lead had adequate managerial/leadership skills to successfully conduct the onsite visit						

Please provide additional comments regarding the onsite visit team lead including any unfavorable/negative experiences as well as best practices/areas of excellence observed.

Section 2: Onsite Visit Process:

Evaluate the following:						
Statement	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Not Applicable
Timeliness of obtaining onsite visit information/instructions from GAC						
Clarity of information/instructions received from GAC						
Availability of GAC Staff during onsite visit process						
Clarity of onsite visit schedule and logistics						
Final Onsite Visit Report Process						
Follow-Up by GAC Staff regarding GAC Accreditation Decision & Continuing Accreditation Requirements						

Please provide any relevant comments/issues about the Onsite Visit Process experience:

Section 3: General Accreditation Process Value:

What was the most meaningful aspect of the GAC Accreditation Process?

What was the most challenging aspect of the GAC Accreditation Process?

Please provide any suggestions for improving the GAC Accreditation process.

Additional Comments:

SUBMIT