A Hybrid Approach to Quantitative Software Project Scheduling Within Agile Frameworks

Michael Jahr, European University of Applied Sciences (EUFH), Germany

ABSTRACT

The paper focuses on the quantitative project-scheduling problem in Information Technology (IT) companies applying agile management, particularly Scrum. The article presents a multi-mode resource-constrained scheduling model for software projects applying agile processes. The research shows that agile and traditional methods are not incompatible, and that quantitative models are a useful support to project management for agile frameworks.

The proposed hybrid approach can be used to generate schedules as benchmarks for agile development iterations. Computational experiments, based on real project data, indicate that this approach significantly reduces project cycle time. The model can be a useful addition to agile project management, especially for software projects with predefined deadlines and budgets.

THE PROBLEM

Information Technology, the youngest among the engineering industries, differs dramatically from other industries. For instance, requirements may not be completely specified at the beginning of a project and usually evolve over time.

Software projects with contracted deadlines, as well as tight budgets, face strict targets. Here, most notably quantitative management support can complement agile methods and contribute to meeting deadlines and budgets.

THE RESEARCH

The research is based on a case study of one of the market leaders in the field of individualized banking applications, which in addition, represents a forerunner in modern agile project management in its segment. The research analyzed the project management and the software project scheduling process.

Senior management targeted four central requirements: 1) delivery dates must be met; 2) project budgets must be met; 3) adherence to agile methods and to the basic Scrum process; and...
THE RESEARCH (continued)

4) simple processes and methods applicable to small and large projects.

However, senior developers indicated that by using classical Scrum, teams had difficulties estimating the project parameters (times, complexity), because each project was individual and without standardization. They concluded that within the complex development, deadlines and scopes were often lost. Therefore, project managers were in need of an effective tool to benchmark the iteration cycles and to estimate the project costs.

The model was tested with three Scrum teams working on four typical projects, including revision of existing software projects and development of new code.

THE MODEL

The model considers a set of activities associated with a set of skill levels. The activities represent the work to be done by a set of non-constant renewable human resources. In the current case, software developers were distinguished by level of experience (inexperienced and senior programmers) with different developing capabilities. The complexity for each activity was evaluated in three levels using function points. The model also includes traditional PERT parameters such as durations, minimum time lag between activities, successors and predecessors, and latest finish times, among others.

Scheduling becomes a recurring part of the process. For each sprint (iteration), a schedule is computed and used as a benchmark and controlling instrument for the following review meeting. The Scrum team experiences can be directly incorporated into the model parameters.

The use of the hybrid model demonstrated remarkably better results than using classical Scrum, both in terms of cost and duration. The research shows that agile and traditional methods are not incompatible, and that quantitative models are a useful support to project management in agile frameworks.

In all four projects the computed schedules were sufficient for the practical implementation and did not exceed the upper duration limits. Moreover, the proposed approach proved to be not just a useful tool during the developing phases, but also a valuable planning tool.

The practical test showed that extending the agile flow by quantitative models has practical benefits. The responsible project management team is equipped with objective and reliable tools to stipulate deadlines. Deviations from the schedule can be used to steer the project and thus take necessary steps; as a result, adherence to deadlines and budget is significantly supported.

The approach requires an improvement in assigning human resources. Measuring development capacities by a function point system proved to be a practical solution in the tests. However, the model allocates developers following a mathematical logic where more productive resources are preferred. Capacities are taken into account, so that the developers cannot be overloaded.

Although the results of this study were promising, further research is needed to achieve precise depictions and to demonstrate direct transferability of results to other types of industries.
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